

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/563,932		NELLISSSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	ALISON HINDENLANG		4151	

All Participants:

(1) ALISON HINDENLANG

(2) Frank Keegan

Date of Interview: 9 February 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-15

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:00 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to request a restriction election over the phone. Representative requested time for further consideration. Representative left a message for examiner on 09/04/2008 tentatively electing claims 1-8 and requesting the ability to resubmit claim 9 with all the limitations of claim 1. Examiner called back for clarification and left a message. As no response has been forthcoming restriction is being mailed.